and that Government should not exclude several communities from the pale of Vedic learning.

Mr. Padmarajiah in supporting the previous speakers, stated that in the colleges at Kashmir and Benares, etc., no distinction was made between class and class. All were admitted into Sanskrit colleges and given education without any difference. He also presented a representation to the Dewan.

Messrs. Sanjeevialu and Virupiah supported the same view.

Mr. Gopalswami Iyer opposed the representation regarding the admission of all classes into the Sanskrit Colleges on the ground that at the outset these institutions were not started by Government, but by Brahmins for the study of Vedas and handed over to Government in trust. He stated that non-Brahmins were not allowed to learn Sanskrit in the colleges for the last 33 years, and that the late Dewan Mr. Ananda Rao said it was impossible to issue an order directing that Sanskrit education should be imparted to the non-Brahmins in these colleges. Sir. M. Visvesvaraya also was against the admission of non-Brahmins into these colleges. As regards the contention that the school was maintained out of the raiyats' money, he pointed out that in British India, the Ecclesiastic Church was maintained by the rate-payer.

Mr. D. S. Mallappa argued that the facts that an aided institution once confined admission to one community did not justify such exclusion after it became a Government institution. Panchamas were being admitted to aided schools under orders of Government.

Dewan.—Both sides have eloquently pleaded their cases. Government will carefully consider the question and pass orders.

PROTECTION—(LEGISLATION).

(Nos. 78 to 88).

SUBJECT No. 78.

Enlargement of the Legislative Council.

Messrs. (1) C. Krishnamurthi, Law Association, Bangalore and (2) Girmaji Rao, Kolar Taluk.

Summary of Representation.—(1) The Mysore Legislative Council may be enlarged so as to consist of fifty members with a widened franchise and with an elected non-official majority.

(2) The number of elected members of the Legislative Council from each district may be increased from one to three.

Withdrawn.

SUBJECT No. 79.

Amendment of the election rules of District Boards, Legislative Council, Representative Assembly, etc.

Messrs. (*a*) T. Srinivasachar, Girmaji Rao, Kolar Taluk; (*b*) Sampangiramaiya, Malur Taluk and (*c*) J. Subba Rao, Chitaldrug District Board.

Summary of Representation.—(*a*) Government may be pleased to amend the election rules of all such elective bodies as District Boards, Legislative Council and Representative Assembly, abolishing all indirect election to such elective assemblies.

(*b*) (i) The election of members to the District Boards by Taluk Boards and some Municipal Councils, (ii) to the Legislative Council by the Representative Assembly and (iii) to the Representative Assembly by the District Boards and some select Municipalities is indirect and cannot be said to be really representative. The election by taluk and Municipal electorates will be representative and proper.